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FFOR THE MOST part, studies on mass transfer for packed extraction towers have been confined to the effect of packing size and type for a single system, or the effect of temperature for a given system and packing. Experimental work has not been reported where effects of both system properties and packing characteristics have been considered. Therefore, the effect of physical properties on mass transfer were studied using different liquid systems and different packings-i.e., acetone dissolved in toluene, Pegasol or kerosine, dispersed in a continuous phase of water, using unglazed porcelain Raschig rings, copper rings, and glass beads. Propionic acid was also extracted from a dispersed methyl isobutyl ketone phase to a continuous water phase.
The effect of column height and direction of extraction on mass transfer have been studied. Photographic recordings of the column in operation were taken for a good number of runs, as these aided by visual observations proved to be helpful in interpreting the results on mass transfer.

## APPARATUS

The extraction equipment consisted essentially of an extraction tower and the necessary accessories to maintain a steady flow of the two liquid phases. The design of the tower was based on the recommendations of Blanding and
${ }^{1}$ Present address, Chemical Engineering Department, Indian Institute of Technology, Powai, Bombay, India.


Figure 1. Schematic diagram of extraction equipment and packed column


Figure 2. Packed tower distributor section, showing location of the packing support
on one side, and to the flange carrying the gland and nut arrangement on the other side. The dispersed phase distributor shown in Figure 2 consisted of a brass funnel, 3 inches long tapering from the outside of the $1 / 2$-inch i.d. solvent feed tube to $31 / 4$ inches in diameter at the discharge end. The distributor proper is essentially a brass plate, $1 / 8$ inch thick, and $21 / 4$ inches in diameter with 21 nozzles ( $5 / 32$ $\times 1$ inch) equally spaced in the holes and soldered to the top of the plate. The latter is held tight on to the funnel by means of a neoprene gasket ring and a screwed cap. The packing support is a $1 / 8$-inch thick ring of brass plate ( $21 / 2$ inch i.d. and $51 / 2$ inch o.d.) with $5 / 32$-inch perforations spaced at $1 / 2$-inch centers all over the area, and it was held in position within the bottom separating section by the four supporting legs, built of $1 / 4$-inch o.d. and $1 / 16$-inch thick copper tubing.

In view of the observations that in a packed extraction tower the drop size of the dispersed phase does not depend much on the nozzle size of the distributor $(4,29)$, but it is largely controlled by the size of the packing, no distributor other than the one described above was used in this work.

## MATERIALS

Column Packings. The packing materials used were $3 / 8$-inch nonporous and unglazed porcelain Raschig rings (Maurice A. Knight Co., Akron, Ohio), $1 / 4$-inch copper rings made from copper tubing, and 6 mm . glass beads [British Drug Houses (India) Ltd., Bombay]. The physical properties of the packings are described in Table I.

The column was packed with regular and unbroken pieces. The 6 mm . beads were graded and sorted from a mixture of 5 to 7 mm . glass beads. The column was filled with water, and the packing material was dropped in from the top, a few pieces at a time slowly and at random, to the desired height. The packing was not shaken or tamped. No settling of the packed bed during operation was observed
for any of the packings used. Only the Raschig ring packing had fallen, but not more than $1 / 2$ inch.

Liquid-Liquid Sysiems. The following systems were chosen because the distribution of the solute between solvent and water in each case obeyed the simple distribution law fairly well in the range of concentrations studied, and in view of the cheapness and availability of chemicals.

1. Acetone-water-toluene
2. Acetone-water-Pegasol
3. Acetone-water-kerosine
4. Propionic acid-water-methyl isobutyl ketone,

Chemicals of the following specifications have been used in the extraction work with the liquid systems.

Water. Andhra University mains, Vizagapatam Municipality.

Acetone. Cordite Factory, Aruvankadu, South India. Purity, $99.5 \%$ by chemical analysis. Specific gravity, 0.7793 .

Kerosine. Burmah-Shell Oil Co., India, Ltd. Boiling range, $160^{\circ}$ to $285^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Specific gravity, $0.778\left(\mathrm{~d}_{30}^{30}\right)$. Viscosity, $\mu^{\prime}$, at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., 1.08 centipoises. Interfacial tension, $\sigma$, at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., 39 dynes per cm .

Pegasol. A petroleum ether of boiling range $60^{\circ}$ to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. from Standard Vacuum Oil Co. Specific gravity, 0.785. $\mu^{\prime}, 0.854$ centipoises. $\sigma, 29$ dynes per cm .

Toluene. Nitration grade toluene (Bengal Chemical and Pharmaceutical works, Calcutta). Specific gravity, 0.859. $\mu^{\prime}, 0.556$ centipoises. $\sigma, 26$ dynes per cm .

Propionic Acid. E. Merck. Purity, $99.7 \%$ by chemical analysis.

Methyl Isobutyl Ketone. (4-Methyl-2-pentanone) Burmah Shell Oil Co. Specific gravity, 0.800. $\mu^{\prime}, 0.52$ centipoises. $\sigma, 10.0$ dynes per cm.

In all cases, water formed the continuous phase and the solvent the dispersed phase. The direction of extraction was from solvent to water, except with the water-acetonekerosine system where the solute was also extracted in the reverse direction.
Values of diffusivity, $D$, and Schmidt number for the systems studied are given in Table II. Literature data $(16,17)$ for the equilibrium distribution for the systems were used in the mass transfer calculations.

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Before operation was started, the liquids in the feed drums were mutually saturated with the opposite solvent. This is particularly necessary when the solvent and water have any appreciable mutual solubilities, as in the case of

Table II. Values of Diffusivity and Schmidt Number

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { System } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Solute in Solvent | $\begin{gathered} D, \\ \text { Sq. } \mathrm{Cm} . / \mathrm{Sec} . \end{gathered}$ | Schmidt No., $\mu / \rho D_{v}$, of Solvent Phase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Acetone in toluene | $2.20 \times 10^{-5}$ | 309.2 |
| 2 | Acetone in Pegasol | $1.44 \times 10^{-5}$ | 750.0 |
| 3 | Acetone in kerosine | $1.10 \times 10^{-5}$ | 1260.0 |
| 4 | Propionic acid in methyl isobutyl ketone | $2.15 \times 10^{-5}$ | 310.0 |
| 5 | Diethylamine in toluene data (22) at $26.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | $2.22 \times 10^{-5}$ | 285.0 |

Table I. Properties of Packing Materials

|  | Average Size of Units, In. |  |  | Units per Cu . Ft. | $a, \frac{(\mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{ft} .)}{(\mathrm{Cu} . \mathrm{ft} .)}$ | $\epsilon$ |  | $a / \epsilon^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Packing | O.d. | I.d. | Length |  |  | Measured | Calcd. |  |
| $3 / 8$-in. porcelain Raschig rings | 0.375 | 0.273 | 0.384 | 28,680 | 178.1 | 0.610 | 0.667 | 750 |
| $1 / 4$-in. copper rings | 0.250 | 0.175 | 0.250 | 81,060 | 215.4 | 0.635 | 0.692 | 841 |
| $6-\mathrm{mm}$. glass beads | 6 mm . diam. sphere |  |  | 163,000 | 198.0 | 0.381 | 0.396 | 3580 |

water and methyl isobutyl ketone. The continuous phase, water, was admitted at a predetermined rate until the column was about three-fourths full, and the dispersed phase-i.e., the solvent solution-having the desired solute concentration was then slowly introduced. The position of the two-phase interface in the top settling section was adjusted and maintained about 1 inch above the top of the packing by means of the overflow control valve in the exit water line (the inverted U-loop was used only for fine adjustments), and the flows were set and held at the desired rates by controlling the main control valves. The $1 / 4$-inch needle valves in parallel with the main control valves were used for final adjustment of the flow rates. As preliminary experiments have shown that the steady conditions were established when the column contents were displaced four times, the column was operated for 5 to 10 minutes more, before samples ( 250 ml . each) of exit water, exit solvent and inlet solvent streams were taken for analysis. Then the flows of both phases to and out of the column were stopped simultaneously and the column contents allowed to settle in order to measure the holdup of the dispersed phase. The holdup measurements were carried out only with the long column ( 56 inches of packing) for the ring packings.

All the runs were taken at ambient room temperature which varied from $29^{\circ}$ to $32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. in the course of the experimental work. This slight variation of temperature had a negligible effect on the equilibrium distribution curves of the systems studied. Only with the acetone-water-kerosine system, the effect of the reversed direction of extraction was studied. When the direction of extraction was from the continuous water phase to the dispersed kerosine, the aqueous acetone solution of the required concentration was first prepared in the water drum and agitated thoroughly to attain uniform concentration. The exit kerosine for each run was then depleted of its solute by scrubbing it with pure water, and was used for the subsequent runs.

About 220 runs were taken altogether with the four systems and three packing materials, which have been summarized in Tables III and IV.

## CALCULATION OF MASS TRANSFER

Values of $K_{W} a, K_{S} a$, (HTU) ow and (HTU) os (the over-all mass transfer coefficients and units respectively) were calculated on both the water (continuous) and solvent (dispersed) phases. The following relationships were employed for this purpose.

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{\mathrm{w} a} & =N / \theta / v(\Delta C)_{\mathrm{w} 1 . \mathrm{m} .}  \tag{1}\\
K_{\mathrm{S}} a & =N / \theta / v(\Delta C)_{\mathrm{S} 1 . \mathrm{m} .}  \tag{2}\\
(\mathrm{HTU})_{\mathrm{OW}} & =V_{\mathrm{w}} / K_{\mathrm{w}} a  \tag{3}\\
(\mathrm{HTU})_{\mathrm{OS}} & =V_{\mathrm{S}} / K_{\mathrm{S}} a \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations hold where the system does not depart widely from the simple distribution law and where the volume changes, amount extracted, and concentrations involved are not large.
Individual film values are more useful and fundamental than the over-all mass transfer coefficients, but are difficult to determine experimentally except where the solute is reasonably dilute, and ideal relationships are closely approximated. The simplified forms of Colburn's equations $(7,8)$ relating over-all to individual transfer units are usually applied in practical extraction work.

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\mathrm{HTU})_{O W}=(\mathrm{HTU})_{\mathrm{W}}+(\mathrm{HTU})_{\mathrm{S}}\left(m V_{\mathrm{W}} / V_{\mathrm{S}}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& (\mathrm{HTU})_{\mathrm{OS}}=(\mathrm{HTU})_{\mathrm{S}}+(\mathrm{HTU})_{\mathrm{W}}\left(V_{\mathrm{S}} / m V_{\mathrm{W}}\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m$ is the slope of the equilibrium curve.
Equations 5 and 6 provide an interesting method for correlating extraction data under widely varying conditions
of flow. Thus, if (HTU) ow plotted against $m V_{\mathrm{W}} / V_{\mathrm{S}}$ is a straight line, the intercept of which represents the individual film unit $\mathrm{HTU}_{\mathrm{W}}$ and the slope represents $\mathrm{HTU}_{\mathrm{D}}$. For the intercept and slope to be true values of the individual film units, the following conditions must be satisfied: The equilibrium line is straight-i.e., $m=d C_{\mathrm{W}} / d C_{\mathrm{S}}=C_{\mathrm{W}} / C_{\mathrm{S}}$. $=$ constant. This condition is generally true for dilute solutions (2). The operating line is straight-i.e., the volumetric rate of each liquid phase is constant throughout the column (3). The individual film transfer units are constant and do not vary with flow rates.

For all the runs, material balance was checked, and those runs for which this was less than $\pm 10 \%$ were used for evaluating the mass transfer coefficients and HTU values. The material balance error (MBE) was expressed as $\left(N_{\mathrm{W}}-N_{\mathrm{S}}\right) / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{av}} \times 100$. The over-all transfer coefficients and units were evaluated using average rates of mass transfer and $\Delta C$ values based on the experimental concentrations of the liquid streams.

## VISUAL OBSERVATION OF FLOW CONDITIONS

To analyze and interpret the mass transfer data, several photographs were taken of the column during operation with the various packings and different liquid systems. In most cases, the top of each picture corresponded to a point approximately an inch or two below the top of the packings. Figure 3 is a typical photograph representative of the flow conditions of the two liquids and of drop size.
With the glass beads (and much less with the copper rings) the behavior of the liquid phases within the packing elements could not be studied in detail, as it was rather difficult to record the column operation by means of photographs, because no definite droplet flow of the dispersed phase occured.

The behavior of the column packed with the Raschig rings while extracting acetone from dispersed Pegasol phase ( $V_{\mathrm{S}}=23.5$ feet per hour) to a continuous water phase ( $V_{\mathrm{W}}=13.3$ feet per hour) was photographically recorded. An examination of this plate reveals that only few drops appeared to be moving through the packing, and thereby brings out the fact that at low flow rates, large portions of the packing surface are not utilized by the dispersed phase. The tortuous path of the drops, and their changing shape while passing through the interstices of the packing units

| Table III. Summary of Over-all Mass Transfer Data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Series | No. of Runs | System ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Disp. <br> Phase ${ }^{b}$ | Packed Height, In. |
| 3/8-In. Raschig Rings |  |  |  |  |
| A | 22 | 2 | Pegasol ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 56 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | 27 | 3 | Kerosine ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 56 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | 9 | $3^{\text {d }}$ | Kerosine | 56 |
| C | 9 | 3 | Kerosine | 34 |
| D | 35 | 4 | MIBK | 56 |
| E | 18 | 4 | MIBK | 34 |
| 1/4-In. Copper Rings |  |  |  |  |
| F | 21 | 1 | Toluene | 30 |
| G | 14 | 2 | Pegasol | 30 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{I}}$ | 119 | 3 4 | Kerosine MIBK | 30 30 |
| 6-Mm. Glass Beads |  |  |  |  |
| J | 8 | 1 | Toluene | 30 |
| K | 9 | 2 | Pegasol | 30 |
| L | 9 | 3 | Kerosine | 30 |
| M | 9 | 4 | MIBK | 30 |
| ${ }_{b}^{a}$ Systems defined in text under materials. <br> ${ }^{6}$ Distributed with $21 \times 5 / 32$-in. nozzles except as noted. <br> c $19 \times 5 / 32$-in. nozzles. <br> ${ }^{d}$ Direction of extraction reversed. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Series and | Flow Rates, Ft./Hr. |  | Concentration, Lb . Moles/ $\mathrm{Cu} . \mathrm{Ft}$. |  |  | $N_{\text {av }}$, | MBE | $K_{W} a$ | $K_{\text {S }} a$ | $\mathrm{H}_{\text {OW }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $V_{\text {W }}$ | $V_{\text {S }}$ | $C_{\text {W2 }}$ | $C_{\text {S2 }}$ | $C_{\text {S } 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Run No.

$3 / 8$-Inch Roschig Rings

| A | Actone-Water-Pegasol, 56-Inch Packed Height, Nozzles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 27.6 | 20.4 | 7.160 | 12.62 | 11.43 | 3.944 | -7.56 | 1.32 | 7.382 | 20.82 | 2.76 |
| 6 | 27.0 | 43.0 | 14.80 | 12.95 | 3.435 | 7.777 | -2.33 | 2.32 | 12.94 | 11.64 | 3.32 |
| 8 | 28.8 | 49.6 | 16.25 | 13.15 | 3.336 | 9.177 | -4.00 | 2.78 | 15.51 | 10.35 | 3.20 |
| 17 | 50.7 | 34.4 | 9.974 | 18.96 | 4.525 | 9.637 | +1.880 | 1.89 | 10.57 | 26.76 | 3.25 |
| 19 | 51.6 | 21.2 | 6.638 | 18.83 | 3.435 | 6.426 | +4.97 | 1.38 | 7.69 | 37.40 | 2.75 |
| 21 | 50.9 | 10.7 | 3.514 | 17.700 | 1.767 | 3.363 | +4.69 | 0.93 | 5.18 | 54.82 | 2.06 |
| B | Acetone-Water-Kerosine, 56 Inch Packed Height, $19 \times 5 / 32$ Inch Nozzles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 24.2 | 16.0 | 10.17 | 18.70 | 2.250 | 4.90 | -6.44 | 1.02 | 6.94 | 23.77 | 2.30 |
| 2 | 25.4 | 28.1 | 11.82 | 13.28 | 3.04 | 5.65 | +4.05 | 1.36 | 9.22 | 18.70 | 3.05 |
| 6 | 25.4 | 44.6 | 14.40 | 11.10 | 3.27 | 6.80 | +2.18 | 1.84 | 12.49 | 13.50 | 3.57 |
| 12 | 50.7 | 21:0 | 3.90 | 11.63 | 1.77 | 3.89 | -4.46 | 1.17 | 7.98 | 43.21 | 2.63 |
| 14 | 49.6 | 45.2 | 9.91 | 13.94 | 3.73 | 9.16 | +6.32 | 1.93 | 13.14 | 25.67 | 3.44 |
| 16 | 49.9 | 69.4 | 10.37 | 11.56 | 4.46 | 9.72 | +4.87 | 2.16 | 14.72 | 23.07 | 4.72 |
| $\mathrm{B}^{a}$ | 56-Inch Packed Height, $21 \times 5 / 32$-In. Nozzles; |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $21^{\text {b }}$ | 25.6 | 19.3 | 8.124 | 1.59 | 12.88 | 4.21 | +0.23 | 2.22 | 15.07 | 11.55 | 1.28 |
| $26^{\text {c }}$ | 25.9 | 23.7 | 7.067 | 1.27 | 9.02 | 3.49 | -2.09 | 1.06 | 7.32 | 24.39 | 3.28 |
| $28^{\text {d }}$ | 24.2 | 36.4 | 6.737 | 1.27 | 6.90 | 3.97 | +1.40 | 0.98 | 6.66 | 24.69 | 5.46 |
| C | 34 In Packed Height, $21 \times 5 / 32$-In. Nozzles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 26.5 | 23.7 | 8.233 | 12.39 | 2.861 | 4.267 | -3.56 | 1.89 | 12.83 | 14.02 | 1.85 |
| 8 | 26.5 | 47.4 | 12.95 | 11.38 | 3.804 | 6.749 | -4.73 | 2.93 | 19.95 | 9.03 | 2.38 |
| 9 | 26.5 | 65.6 | 15.71 | 11.38 | 4.873 | 8.100 | -2.71 | 3.23 | 21.99 | 8.18 | 2.98 |
| D | Propionic Acid-Water-Methyl Isobutyl Ketone, 56 In. Packed Height; $21 \times 5 / 32$-In. Nozzles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 24.8 | 20.1 | 2.092 | 5.666 | 3.060 | 1.024 | -4.96 | 8.76 | 4.54 | 2.83 | 4.43 |
| 9 | 25.4 | 37.5 | 2.560 | 6.088 | 4.246 | 1.288 | -6.15 | 10.84 | 5.62 | 2.34 | 6.68 |
| 10 | 23.1 | 50.1 | 2.919 | 6.088 | 4.621 | 1.358 | -8.52 | 15.17 | 7.86 | 1.52 | 6.37 |
| 26 | 39.7 | 27.6 | 2.107 | 6.260 | 3.403 | 1.561 | +6.00 | 11.37 | 5.89 | 3.49 | 4.68 |
| 28 | 12.7 | 25.4 | 3.184 | 6.291 | 4.589 | 0.803 | -6.73 | 12.49 | 6.47 | 1.01 | 3.92 |
| 29 | 49.6 | 27.0 | 1.826 | 6.291 | 3.091 | 1.702 | +4.69 | 11.62 | 6.02 | 4.27 | 4.48 |
| E | 34 In. Packed Height, $21 \times 5 / 32$-In. Nozzles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 26.5 | 20.7 | 1.748 | 5.307 | 2.966 | 0.912 | -4.52 | 13.17 | 6.82 | 2.01 | 3.03 |
| 5 | 27.6 | 40.2 | 2.310 | 6.072 | 4.558 | 1.198 | +4.42 | 14.96 | 7.75 | 1.84 | 5.19 |
| 7 | 26.0 | 63.9 | 2.779 | 6.260 | 5.120 | 1.396 | -0.81 | 20.42 | 10.58 | 1.27 | 6.05 |
| 13 | 47.9 | 26.4 | 1.467 | 6.431 | 3.840 | 1.336 | +2.59 | 12.73 | 6.60 | 3.76 | 4.00 |
|  | $1 / 4$-Inch Copper Rings, 30-Inch Packed Height, $21 \times 5 / 32$-Inch Nozzles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Acetone Toluene Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 26.5 | 21.5 | 5.531 | 16.54 | 9.243 | 2.917 | -6.99 | 4.13 | 5.63 | 6.41 | 3.82 |
| 6 | 25.9 | 43.0 | 8.673 | 18.11 | 12.39 | 4.525 | -9.01 | 5.72 | 7.80 | 4.53 | 5.51 |
| 18 | 13.2 | 44.6 | 13.76 | 17.10 | 12.70 | 3.640 | -7.66 | 5.63 | 7.68 | 2.35 | 5.81 |
| G | Acetone-Pegasol-Water, 30-Inch Packed Height |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 27.0 | 12.1 | 3.038 | 9.513 | 2.444 | 1.613 | +4.35 | 1.20 | 6.69 | 22.50 | 1.81 |
| 4 | 25.4 | 26.5 | 5.680 | 9.513 | 4.096 | 2.765 | +0.49 | 1.71 | 9.53 | 14.85 | 2.78 |
| 14 | 62.8 | 15.1 | 2.378 | 13.15 | 3.600 | 2.824 | +3.61 | 1.47 | 8.21 | 42.67 | 1.84 |
| H | Acetone-Kerosine-Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 23.7 | 21.5 | 10.17 | 15.46 | 4.228 | 4.641 | -0.21 | 1.74 | 11.84 | 13.61 | 1.82 |
| 17 | 23.1 | 15.4 | 4.888 | 11.03 | 3.666 | 2.182 | -0.44 | 1.04 | 7.06 | 22.25 | 2.18 |
| 19 | 40.2 | 15.9 | 2.972 | 11.03 | 4.129 | 2.011 | -9.57 | 0.89 | 6.09 | 44.87 | 2.61 |
| I | Propionix Acid-Methyl Isobutyl Ketone-Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 26.2 | 18.7 | 1.748 | 6.463 | 3.871 | 0.908 | -5.72 | 10.47 | 5.43 | 2.50 | 3.44 |
| 4 | 25.4 | 36.4 | 2.123 | 6.338 | 4.870 | 1.031 | +0.80 | 12.22 | 6.33 | 2.08 | 5.75 |
|  | 6-Mm. Glass Beads, 30-Inch Packed Height, $21 \times 5 / 32$-Inch Nozzles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J | Acetone Toluene Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 26.5 | 14.9 | 6.077 | 19.16 | 7.927 | 3.153 | -3.91 | 4.248 | 5.794 | 6.24 | 2.57 |
| 8 | 12.1 | 29.8 | 13.87 | 20.74 | 14.93 | 3.280 | -2.82 | 3.92 | 5.53 | 3.09 | 5.57 |
| K | Acetone-Pegasol-Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 23.1 | 12.7 | 3.567 | 9.314 | 2.742 | $1.595$ | $-0.88$ | 1.15 | 6.44 | 20.02 | 1.97 |
| 9 | 15.6 | 30.1 | 9.511 | 10.31 | 5.054 | $2.948$ | $-6.27$ | 1.65 | 9.19 | 9.47 | 3.28 |
| L | Acetone-Kerosine-Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 26.5 | 12.7 | 4.491 | 16.19 | 6.001 | 2.384 | -8.15 | 0.73 | 4.94 | 36.45 | 2.57 |
| 9 | 17.1 | 23.1 | 8.719 | 16.05 | 9.182 | 2.963 | -6.56 | 0.76 | 5.14 | 22.62 | 4.49 |
| M | Propionic Acid-Methyl Isobutyl Ketone-Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 25.6 | 12.1 | 1.189 | 5.945 | 3.303 | 0.601 | -5.19 | 6.93 | 3.59 | 3.69 | 3.37 |
| 9 | 15.1 | 18.7 | $1.982$ | $5.978$ | $4.294$ | $0.591$ | $-4.92$ | $17.35$ | 3.81 | 2.05 | 4.91 |
| Extr | on direction reversed. ${ }^{\text {b. . d }}$ Initial water concentration ${ }^{\text {b }} 8.983,{ }^{¢} 7.761,{ }^{\text {d }} 7.596 \mathrm{lb}$. moles/ $\mathrm{Cu} . \mathrm{ft}. \times 10^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 3. Conditions of the tower, packed with $3 / 8-\mathrm{in}$. Raschig rings
Extraction of acetone from dispersed Pegasol to water. $V_{W}=27.0 \mathrm{ft} . / \mathrm{hr}$. and $V_{\mathrm{p}}=37.0 \mathrm{ft} . / \mathrm{hr}$.
can also be noted. With increased flow rate of the dispersed phase for a given rate of the continuous phase, the holdup gradually increased and the droplets showed a tendency to coalesce and form short slugs of the liquid. Figure 3 shows that at higher rates of the dispersed Pegasol phase, the drops tended to break down into a number of smaller drops. Some of these smaller drops might, in turn, coalesce to form larger drops. The units now seemed to be effectively utilized by the drops of the dispersed phase, and the increased holdup of the latter can be observed from the same figure. For a given system, the size of the droplets leaving the packing was observed to be nearly the same, and practically uneffected by increase in dispersed phase rate.
With the copper rings also, the drops of dispersed phase tended to coalesce and formed slugs at rates of flow lower than those for the Raschig rings.
With the glass beads, the column was densely packed, resulting in very low voids within the packing. The droplets inside the column could not maintain any definite shape, as they passed through the narrow interstices of the densely packed bed. Also, they coalesced even at fairly moderate rates of flow, depending on the nature of the system.
Glass beads gave approximately the same performance as the copper rings with acetone-water-kerosine, and acetone-water-Pegasol systems, whereas they gave transfer coefficients for acetone-water-toluene, and propionic acid-watermethyl isobutyl ketone systems, which were 10 to $15 \%$ lower than the coefficients with the copper rings. Somewhat largesized drops were obtained with kerosine and Pegasol as dispersed liquids, while smaller and finer drops were formed with methyl isobutyl ketone. The droplets obtained with toluene dispersed were somewhat intermediate in size between kerosine and ketone droplets.
The above phenomena may be explained as follows: The increased coalescence of the dispersed phase due to the densely packed nature of the bed with 6 mm . glass beads, might more than offset the increase in interfacial area due to the smaller drops with methyl isobutyl ketone system, than with $1 / 4$-inch rings with the result that the transfer coefficients were reduced for the beads with the ketone system by about $15 \%$. However, with kerosine and Pegasol as solvents, though the droplet size and holdup were
observed to be somewhat different for $1 / 4$-inch rings and $6-\mathrm{mm}$. beads for similar flow rate conditions, the above factors might have so balanced each other, as to give nearly identical rates of mass transfer.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Flow Rates. With the object of establishing the effect of flow rates on the efficiency of mass transfer, both dispersed and continuous phase flow rates were varied from 10 to 60 and 15 to 50 feet per hour, respectively, and the upper limits of these flow rates were within the flooding rates. For the system acetone-water-kerosine, the effect of the dispersed phase flow rate on mass transfer coefficients has been shown graphically in Figure 4 with continuous phase flow rate as parameter. It is clear from all these $K a v s . V_{D}$ plots (Figures 4 and 5) that the transfer coefficient, $K a$ increased with increased rate of the dispersed phase, owing to increased holdup of this phase. With further increase of $V_{\mathrm{D}}$ however, each of the curves showed a tendency to fall off, thereby indicating the less rapid rate of increase of coefficients with the flow rate, $V_{S}$.

This can be explained by the fact that the coalescence of the drops observed at high values of $V_{\mathrm{S}}$ might account for the less rapid increase in the mass transfer coefficients with increased dispersed phase flow rate.

At a constant flow rate of the dispersed phase, the effect of the continuous phase flow rate on the extraction coefficients was studied and a typical plot is shown in Figure 6. The extraction coefficient was almost constant, being very little influenced by the continuous phase flow rate for


Figure 4. Effect of dispersed phase flow rate on over-all transfer coefficient, $K_{K} a$. Extraction of acetone between kerosine and water under different conditions of extraction



Figure 5. Effect of dispersed phase flow rate on over-all transfer coefficient, $K_{M} a$. Extraction of propionic acid from dispersed methyl isobutyl ketone to a continuous water phase in a 1.88 in. i.d. tower


Figure 6. Effect of continuous phase flow rate on the over-all transfer coefficient, $K_{D} a$. Extraction of acetone from each of the dispersed solvents to a continuous water phase, with $1 / 4$-inch copper rings as packing

| Symbol | System | $V_{D}$, Fr./Hr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\times$ | 1 | 24 |
| $\square$ | 2 | 15 |
| 0 | 3 | 16 |

acetone-water-solvent systems. On the other hand, for propionic acid-water-methyl isobutyl ketone system (Figure 7), the continuous phase flow rate had practically no effect on the transfer coefficients above 25 feet per hour, while it slightly increased the coefficient below this flow rate. For the different conditions studied in the packed tower, the capacity coefficients were first correlated as functions of the flow rates, and the resulting empirical equations were presented in Table $V$ for the ring packings.

Column Length. Figure 4 shows that the mass transfer efficiency in the extraction of acetone from kerosine to water was about $40 \%$ greater in the short tower ( 34 inches of packing) than in the long tower ( 56 inches of packed height) for the Raschig rings as packing material.

Appreciable end effects apparently existed in the short tower, and these became inappreciable as the tower height was increased. However, the performance of the column,


Figure 7. Effect of continuous phase flow rate on over-all transfer coefficient, $K_{D} a$. Extraction of propionic acid from methyl isobutyl Ketone (dispersed) to water

| Symbol | Packing | $V_{D}$ Ft./Hr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $3 / 8-\mathrm{in}$. Raschig rings | 25 |
| 0 | $3 / 8-\mathrm{in}$. Raschig rings | 48 |
| 8 | $3 / 8-\mathrm{in}$ Raschig rings | 12 |
| $\Delta$ | $1 / 4-\mathrm{in}$. copper rings | 25 |
| $\square$ | $6-\mathrm{mm}$. glass beads | 12 |
| $\square$ | $6-\mathrm{mm}$. glass beads | 17 |

packed with the Raschig rings for the extraction of propionic acid from dispersed methyl isobutyl ketone to a continuous water phase, was observed to be nearly the same for the two column heights ( 34 and 56 inches of packed heights) as was indicated by the almost equal transfer coefficients for identical conditions of flow rates.

The probable explanation seems to be that the delayed coalescence observed with the larger sized drops of kerosine must have caused an entrance effect at the continuous phase inlet, and this might explain the observed end effect with acetone-water-kerosine system.

While extracting propionic acid from dispersed methyl isobutyl ketone to water, however, the droplets of the ketone were smaller, and they passed up through the packing rather faster than the larger drops of kerosine. The moment these ketone droplets approached the interface, they readily coalesced with it.

Similar observations as regards the effect of end effects in reducing the efficiency of mass transfer in packed extraction towers have been made by Comings and Briggs (10), Pratt and Glover (23), and Leibson and Beckmann (19). They observed the effect to be rather small, if not entirely negligible, in a packed extraction tower. Also the

Table V. Empirical Correlation of Capacity Coefficients as Functions of Flow Rates for Packed Extraction Towers

| System ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Packed Height, In. | Approximate Flow Rate Range, $\mathrm{Ft} . / \mathrm{Hr}$. |  | $K a=\alpha V_{S^{n}}$ or $V_{W^{\prime}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $V_{\mathrm{C}}$ | $V_{\text {D }}$ |  |
|  |  | $3 / 8$-In. Raschig Rings |  |  |
| 2 | 56 | 15-60 | 12-60 | $K_{\mathrm{P}} a=0.8 V_{\mathrm{P}^{\text {a }} \text {, }}$ |
| 3 | 56 | 15-60 | 12-60 | $K_{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{\text {a }}=0.8 V_{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{0.74}$ |
| $3^{6}$ | 56 | 15-60 | 12-60 | $K_{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{a}=0.52 V_{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{0.73}$ |
| 3 | 34 | 15-60 | 12-60 | $K_{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{\text {a }}=1.25 V_{\mathrm{K}}^{0.73}$ |
| 4 | 56 | 25-60 | 12-60 | $K_{\mathrm{M}{ }^{\text {a }} \text { a }}=0.49 V_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{0.70}$ |
| 4 | 34 | 25-60 | 12-60 | $K_{\mathrm{M}} a=0.59 V_{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{0.73}$ |
|  |  | $1 / 4-$ In. Copper Rings |  |  |
| 1 | 30 | 12-50 | 12-50 | $K_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{\text {a }}=1.3 V_{\mathrm{T}^{\text {a }} \text { a }}$ |
| 2 | 30 | 12-60 | 12-50 |  |
| 3 | 30 | 12-60 | 12-50 | $K_{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{\text {a }}=2.2 V_{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{0.583}$ |
| 4 | 30 | 12-60 | 12-50 | $K_{\mathrm{M}} a=2.3 V_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{0.30}$ |

[^0]recent studies of Gier and Hougen (15) on the end effects in spray and packed columns showed that the end effect was at the continuous phase entrance resulting from bulk mixing (or recirculation) in the continuous phase at its inlet. This bulk mixing effect was observed by these authors to be more significant in spray columns of high diameter to height ratio, whereas it should be restricted in packed extraction columns.
Direction of Extraction. An examination of Figure 4 makes it clear that the efficiency of mass transfer while extracting acetone from water to kerosine was approximately the same as while extracting it in the reverse direction.

It may be explained as follows: In a spray tower, the direction of extraction plays an important part in that coalescence and breaking up of drops at high flow rates are predominant, but extracting the solute from the dispersed phase in a packed tower, however, the packing more or less controls the drop size; hence, the mass transfer coefficients were approximately the same for both directions of extraction.

## HTU CORRELATIONS

In view of the advantages of HTU's over $K a$ 's for the analysis of mass transfer data, the results were also expressed in terms of the over-all HTU's which were plotted according to Colburn's original Equations 5 and 6 in Figures 8 to 11 . The slope and intercept relationships were reasonably satisfied in all cases. These slope and intercept values may be regarded as indicative of the film values of transfer units, and were listed in Table VI. Values of these individual film HTU's ranged from 0.85 to 2.85 feet for the dispersed phase, and zero to 5.60 feet for the continuous phase, depending on the nature of the system and type of packing used.
With a view to correlate these film HTU values with the system variables and packing characteristics, on the assumption that the film HTU's will not vary with flow rates (which was reasonably satisfied with the data in the present investigation), the film HTU values for the dispersed and continuous phases were plotted against the Schmidt group ( $\mu / \rho D_{v}$ ) on log-log coordinates. Values of


Figure 8. Over-all continuous phase transfer units: Extraction of acetone from dispersed solvent to continuous water phase in a 1.88 -in. i.d. tower, packed with $3 / 8$-inch Raschig rings

| Symbol | Solvent | Packed <br> Height, In. | Ft./Hr. | Vo, <br> Ft. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | Kerosine | 56 | 25 | 10 to 50 range |
| 8 | Kerosine | 56 | 50 | 10 to 50 range |
| 0 | Kerosine | 34 | 26 | 10 to 50 range |
| $\square$ | Pegasol | 56 | 27 | 10 to 50 range |
| $\square$ | Pegasol | 56 | 50 | 10 to 50 range |



Figure 9. Over-all continuous phase transfer units. Extraction of propionic acid from dispersed methyl isobutyl ketone to continuous water phase in a $1.88-\mathrm{in}$. i.d. tower, packed with $3 / 8$-inch Raschig rings


Figure 10. Over-all continuous phase transfer units: Extraction in a 1.88 -in. i.d. tower, packed with $1 / 4$-inch copper rings, from solvent (dispersed) to water (continuous): A comparison of systems

| Symbol | Solute | Dispersed <br> Phase | Direction of <br> Extraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\times$ | Acetone | Kerosine | $K$ |$\rightarrow W$


figure 11. Over-all continuous phase transfer units: Extraction in a $1.88-\mathrm{in}$. i.d. tower, packed with $6-\mathrm{mm}$. glass beads, from solvent (dispersed) to water (continuous) phase. A comparison of systems For legend, see Figure 10
diffusivity in the Schmidt group were calculated by the method of Wilke (32). Both $\mathrm{HTU}_{\mathrm{W}}$ and $\mathrm{HTU}_{\mathrm{S}}$ correlated satisfactorily with a slope of 0.68 for the Schmidt number. Having established the effect of $\mu / \rho D$, it was attempted to correlate the film HTU values with the packing characteristics. A plot of $\mathrm{HTU}_{\mathrm{S}} /\left(\mu / \rho D_{v}\right)^{0.68}$ against $\left(a / F^{3}\right)$ was made for the present data on the ring packings. A slope of -0.8 was obtained from the above plot. (HTU) ${ }_{W}$ values could not, however, be correlated satisfactorily with the packing characteristics. The same value of the exponent was

| Table VI. Correlations for Packed Extraction Tower |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Packing $3 / 8$-in. Raschig rings | System | Packed Height, In. | $\mathrm{HTU}_{\mathrm{S}}$ $\mathrm{Ft} .$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HTU}_{\mathrm{w}} \\ \mathrm{Ft.} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Acetone-water Pegasol | 56 | 2.00 | 3.0 |
|  | Acetone-waterkerosine | 56 | 2.45 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 34 | 1.65 | 3.0 |
| 1/4 inch copper rings | Acetone-waterPegasol | 30 | 1.25 | 3.0 |
|  | Acetone-waterkerosine | 30 | 1.30 | 3.0 |
|  | Acetone-water toluene | 30 | 1.75 | 3.25 |
|  |  | 30 | 1.75 | 1.62 |
|  | Propionic Acid-water-methyl isobutyl ketone | 30 | 1.10 | 1.60 |
| 6 mm . glass beads | Acetone-watertoluene | 30 | 1.40 | 2.60 |
|  | Acetone-waterPegasol | 30 | 1.48 | 5.60 |
|  | Acetone-waterkerosine | 30 | 2.40 | 9.30 |
|  | Propionic Acid-water-methyl isobutyl ketone | 30 | 0.85 | 1.80 |

obtained on the graph for the data of Leibson and Beckmann (19) on ring packings of different sizes. The functional relationship between $(\mathrm{HTU})_{\mathrm{S}}, \mu / \rho D_{v}$ and $a / F^{3}$ could now be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{HTU})_{S}=K_{1}\left(\mu / \rho D_{v}\right)^{n}\left(a / F^{3}\right)^{m} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constants $m$ and $n$ were found to be -0.8 and 0.68 respectively.

In order to evaluate $K_{1}$ in the above equations, a plot of (HTU) ${ }_{\mathrm{S}}$ against $\left(\mu / \rho D_{v}\right)^{0.88} \times\left(a / F^{3}\right)^{-0.8}$ has been shown in Figure 12, for the present data on ring packings, the data of Leibson and Beckmann on the system, diethylamine-watertoluene; and the data of Pratt and Glover (23) on the system acetone-water-vinyl acetate for ring packings. The data of Leibson and Beckman were represented by the above equation with a value of $K_{1}=10.3$ while the present data and that of Pratt and Glover were represented by $K_{1}=4.4$. The variation of $K_{1}$ from 4.4 to 10.3 , therefore, showed that there are other factors like interfacial tension etc., which also appeared to influence the extraction coefficients. Since the present study included a variation in the system properties ( $\mu / \rho D_{v}$ ranging from 295 to 1260) a value of 4.4 for $K_{1}$, satisfying the above equation for a number of different systems, seems to be more justified for design purposes than the value of 10.3 for $K_{1}$, resulting from the data on the single system, diethylamine-water-toluene.

Hence for design purposes, a suitable value of $K_{1}$ must be selected to calculate $\mathrm{HTU}_{\mathrm{S}}$ for any given system, thereby giving due allowance for variation in the other properties of the system.
In view of the differences in void spaces of the solid bead packings as compared with the more open ring packings, marked difference in behavior were observed between solid beads and the more open rings. Hence, it seemed reasonable to treat the data for the beads separately from the ring-type packings.

Due to the densely packed nature of the bed with $6-\mathrm{mm}$. beads, the effect of packing variables on mass transfer could not be established for these packings. Hence, the constant, $K_{1}$ and the packing factor ( $a / F^{3}$ ) in Equation 7


Figure 12. Final correlation of dispersed phase film transfer unit with Schmidt number and packing factor

| Symbol <br> 0 | $3 / 8$-in. Raschig rings | System | Source <br> Acetone-water-kerosine <br> Acetone-water-Pegasol <br> Propionic acid-water-MIBK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | This |
| :---: |
| work |

were grouped together as $K_{2}$ and the equation for (HTU) ${ }_{S}$ for the solid beads could be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{HTU})_{S}=K_{2}\left(\mu / \rho D_{v}\right)^{0.88} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a value of $K_{2}$ ranging from 0.017 to 0.03 for the different systems reported in this investigation.
Further work will be necessary on more systems before a more general correlation can be developed. A more extensive study with systems and packings, varied over a wide range of properties, is in progress in these laboratories, and a more general correlation is close at hand.

## NOMENCLATURE

$a=$ interfacial contact area per unit tower volume, surface area of packing per $\mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{ft}$.
$C=$ concentration in lb. moles $/ \mathrm{cu}$. ft.
$\Delta C=$ over-all concentration difference in lb. moles/cu. ft.
$D_{0}=$ diffusivity
$g=$ acceleration due to gravity
$x=$ fractional volumetric holdup of dispersed phase, $\mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{ft}$. cu . ft.
$\mathrm{HTU}_{0 X}=$ height of over-all transfer unit based on $X$-phase in ft . $\mathrm{HTU}_{\mathrm{X}}=$ height of individual transfer unit of $X$-phase in ft .
$k a=$ individual volumetric mass transfer coefficient in lb. moles/(hr.) (cu. ft.) ( $\Delta C$ )
$m=$ slope of equilibrium line $=d C_{c} / d C_{d}$ or $d C_{w} / d C_{s}$
$N=N^{\prime} / \theta=$ rate of solute transfer in lb . moles $/ \mathrm{hr}$.
Re $=$ Reynolds' number
$U=$ superficial velocity in $\mathrm{ft} . / \mathrm{hr}$., (flow rate of phase referred in cu. ft./ (hr.) (sq. ft.)
$v=$ volume of tower
$\epsilon$ or $F=$ void fraction
$\sigma$ or $\gamma=$ interfacial tension in dynes $/ \mathrm{cm}$.
$\rho=$ density in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{ft}$.
$\rho^{\prime}=$ specific gravity
$\mu^{\mu}=$ viscosity in lb. $/ \mathrm{ft}$. (sec.), $\mu^{\prime}=$ viscosity in centipoises
$K_{1}=$ constant in the correlation for ring packings
$K_{2}=$ constant in the correlation for bead packings
$\theta=$ time in hours

## Subscripts

W or $\mathrm{C}=$ water, continuous phase
S or $\mathrm{D}=$ solvent, dispersed phase
$\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{T}=$ kerosine, methyl isobutyl ketone, Pegasol, and toluene, respectively
l.m. $=$ logarithmic mean
$a v=$ average
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## CORRECTION

In "Fall of Liquid Drops in Water. Drag Coefficients, Peak Velocities, and Maximum Drop Sizes" [P.M. Krishna, D. Venkateswarlu, and G.S.R. Narasimhamurty, J. Chem. Eng. Data 4, 340 (1959)] Equations 5, 6, 8, and 9 on page 343 should read as follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\mathrm{Re} / \mathrm{We})_{P}=0.3592 S d^{0.8880}  \tag{5}\\
U_{P}=2.784 / S d^{0.8250}  \tag{6}\\
(\mathrm{Re} / \mathrm{We})_{M}=0.6883 S d^{0.7567}  \tag{8}\\
U_{M}=1.453 / S d^{0.7587} \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

The constants in the equations may be rounded off as
suggested by Markowitz (3) to have the correlations workable and meaningful.
Regarding the Weber number, this dimensionless group is stated as $D_{\rho} U^{2} \sigma$ by Hu and Kintner (I) and Johnson and Braida (2). Other workers have defined the Weber number as $D_{\rho} U^{2} \sigma g_{c}$. If it is necessary to include $g_{c}$ to be consistent with the definition of the $S d$ group, it can be defined as $D_{\rho} U^{2} / \sigma g_{c}$.
(1) Hu, S., Kintner, R.C., A. I. Ch. E. Journal 1, 42 (1955).
(2) Johnson, A.I., Braida, L., Can. J. Chem. Eng. 35, 165 (1957).
(3) Markowitz, Joel, 9 Wainwright Ave. Yonkers, New York, Private communication.
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[^0]:    ${ }_{b}^{a}$ Systems defined in text under materials.
    ${ }^{6}$ Direction of extraction reversed.

